

# **Reducing Precarious Work** Protective gaps and the role of social dialogue in Europe

Damian Grimshaw, Mat Johnson, Jill Rubery, Arjan Keizer

TASC, Dublin 6<sup>th</sup> December 2016

European Work and Employment Research Centre (EWERC), University of Manchester

1) The **standard employment relationship** (SER) is still a valuable benchmark for well protected employment

-country varieties of standards & direction of change -form of regulation (legal regulations & collective bargaining)

2) Precarious work can extend across **all employment forms** 



European Work and Employment Research Centre (EWERC), University of Manchester

- 3) Analysing precarious work through 'Protective Gaps'
  - Other studies focus on non-standard employment and on objective and subjective job quality measures (Broughton et al. 2016, EuroFound 2015)
  - Our *institutional analysis* is complementary: Detailed focus on precarious work arising from 4 Protective Gaps:
    - Employment rights gaps, Social protection gaps, Representation gaps, Enforcement gaps
    - Protective gaps are inter-connected and institutionally embedded
    - Widening of gaps makes labour markets more exclusive; Closing gaps makes labour markets more inclusive (Rubery 2015)









#### 4) A societal specific approach

- Varieties of gaps = Varieties of precariousness (Barbier 2011; Paugam 2000)
- Interaction between regulatory forms (collective bargaining and legislation); EU directives important
- How to assess precarious conditions?
  - Precarious work in Country A versus Countries B, C
  - Precarious work in Country A versus 'standards' in Country A
- 5) Employer strategies shape the form and extent of precarious work
  - Employers are key architects in labour markets (Wilkinson 1981)
  - Uneven development of sectors/supply chains shapes employers' capacity to improve standards

6) Social dialogue and policy reforms are needed to reduce precarious work



#### Table 3.1. Locating six countries across institutional types –Pre-crisis

	Variety of capitalism	Industrial relations regime	Welfare state regime	Gender regime and dominant household forms
Denmark	CME	Nordic corporatism	Social democratic	Dual-earner model/ Weak MBW
France	CME/state-led	Polarised/state- centred	Conservative	One-and-three-quarters earner/ Modified MBW
Germany	CME	Social partnership	Conservative	One-and-a-half earner/ Strong MBW
Slovenia	Post-transition	Social partnership	Conservative/ Social democratic	Dual-earner model/ Weak MBW
Spain	CME	Polarised/state- centred	Familialist	Dual-earner/ Strong MBW
UK	LME	Liberal pluralism	Residual	One-and-a-half earner/ Modified MBW

Notes: CME = coordinated market economy, LME = liberal market economy; MBW = male breadwinner.

Sources: Hall and Soskice (2001), Frege and Kelly (2013), EC (2009), Esping-Andersen (1999), Lewis (1992), Lewis et al. (2008).

### RESEARCH DESIGN: MULTI-LEVEL, MIXED METHODS

Institutional regimes/ cluster analysis			Patterns/trends in standard & non standard employment forms				
<u><u></u></u>							
nterviews w	ith expert info	ormants (6-12	2 per co	ountry	)		
cy-makers							
<u> </u>							
Critical analysis of 'Protective Gaps' (policy, expert and secondary data)							
Employment rights Social protection gaps gaps			Representation gaps Enforcement gaps				
<u>ک</u> ک							
Original case studies (144 manager & worker interviews)							
France x 4 Germany x 4		Slovenia x 3	Spain x 3		UK x 4		
	terviews w cy-makers lysis of 'Pro ghts Soo ginal case s	Aterviews with expert info cy-makers Employer as trade to Iysis of 'Protective Gaps ghts Social protection gaps	regimes/ cluster analysis       e         Aterviews with expert informants (6-12         cy-makers       Employer associations & trade unions         cy-makers       Employer associations & trade unions         lysis of 'Protective Gaps' (policy, expendence)         ghts       Social protection gaps         ginal case studies (144 manager & wo	regimes/ cluster analysis       employme         employme       employme         employme       employme         enterviews with expert informants (6-12 per constructions & Civil strade unions       Civil strade unions         cy-makers       Employer associations & Civil strade unions       Civil strade unions         lysis of 'Protective Gaps' (policy, expert and gaps       Representation gaps       Givil strade unions         ghts       Social protection gaps       Representation gaps       Givil strade unions         ginal case studies (144 manager & worker integration gaps       Givil strade unions       Givil strade unions	regimes/ cluster analysis       employment form         interviews with expert informants (6-12 per country         ey-makers       Employer associations & civil society of         ey-makers       Employer associations & civil society of         lysis of 'Protective Gaps' (policy, expert and second gaps       Social protection gaps         ghts       Social protection gaps       Representation gaps         ginal case studies (144 manager & worker interview)		

# FIRST RESEARCH QUESTION

What protective gaps do we find across countries for different employment forms?

- Full-time, permanent work
- $\circ~$  Part-time and variable hours work
- Temporary work
- Subcontracted work

# FULL-TIME, PERMANENT WORK: EROSION OF STANDARDS?

	More Inclusive 🗲	> More Exclusive
Employment rights gaps	<ul> <li>E.g. Minimum wage</li> <li>-High level (DK, FR, SI)</li> <li>-Eligible from day one</li> <li>E.g. Employment protection</li> </ul>	-Low level (ES) -Excludes student interns (FR, SI, UK)
	-Short tenure (DK, ES, SI)	-Long tenure (FR, UK) -Public sector downsizing (except DK)
Social protection gaps	<ul> <li>E.g. Unemployment benefits</li> <li>-High minimum (DK)</li> <li>-Long duration (DK)</li> <li>-Contributory benefits <ul> <li>extended (ES, low level)</li> </ul> </li> <li>E.g Paid maternity leave</li> </ul>	-No/low minimum (DE, UK) -Short duration (SI, UK) -Excludes voluntary quits (ES, SI)
	-High level, long duration (SI)	-Low level (UK)

# FULL-TIME, PERMANENT WORK: EROSION OF STANDARDS?

	More Inclusive	
Representation gaps	Collective bargaining -High, relatively stable (DK, ES, FR)	-Low (UK) -Falling slowly (DE, ES), quickly (SI)
	Workplace representation -High (DK, FR), Moderate (SI, ES)	-Low (DE small firms, UK private sector)
Enforcement gaps	Labour inspection -High level resources (DK)	-Low level resources (UK) -Falling resources (DK, SI, UK)
	Social dialogue role -Strongly embedded (DK, DE, SI)	-Weak role (UK –highly individualised with fees also)

#### **PART-TIME WORK: TRENDS?**

- Overall relatively stable for women
- But rising shares of involuntary part-time employment (Spain, France, Slovenia) (Eurostat)



### **PART-TIME & VARIABLE HOURS WORK:**

	More Inclusive	<b>More Exclusive</b>
Employment rights gaps	Earnings/hours protection -Minimum hours (FR); some collective agreements (DE, DK) -Worker notice on schedules (FR, DE, ES)	-No minimum hours (ES, SI, UK) -Hyper-flexible hours (UK)
	Integration with SER -Overtime pay (FR) -Right to reduce hours (DE, ES, FR, SI) -Right to return to full-time (FR, DE, ES, SI)	<ul> <li>-Few opportunities in high-level jobs (UK, DE)</li> <li>-Only right to request (DK, UK)</li> <li>-No right to return to full-time (DK, UK)</li> </ul>
Social protection gaps	Unemployment benefits -High minimum level -No/low hours thresholds -Voluntary opt-in (DE); can insure as FT (DK, FR); reduced hours for care work treated as FT (SI) -Low contributions (DK, FR); uprated (ES)	<ul> <li>-No minimum benefit (DE)</li> <li>-Earnings threshold (DE, UK)</li> <li>-Short reference period/high contributions (DE, SI)</li> </ul>

### **ENFORCEMENT GAP PROBLEMS: MINI JOBS IN GERMANY**

- One in five (7.5 million) working Germans had a mini-job in 2014, 2/3 women
- Defined as earning up to €450 per month
- Average 11 hours per week; main job for 2 in 3

Table 11.5 Survey results on fundamental worker entitlements in mini-jobs

	Paid Holidays		Sick Pay		Pay for Public Holidays	
Responses by	Not Possible	No Answer	Not Possible	No Answer	Not Possible	No Answer
Employees	41.5%	26.1%	38.7%	34.6%	43.3%	36.3%
Companies	31.3%	11.1%	25.6%	10.7%	40.3%	13.3%

Source: Weinkopf 2014 based on RWI 2012.

Weinkopf, Claudia (2014): Precarious employment and the rise of mini jobs. In: Vosko, Leah/ MacDonald, Martha/ Campbell, Iain (eds.) *Gender and the Contours of Precarious Employment*, Routledge, pp.177-193.

# **TEMPORARY WORK**

#### Temporary work as 'second choice' work

% of temporary workers who 'could not find permanent work', 2015



## **TEMPORARY WORK**

	More Inclusive	More Exclusive
Employment rights gaps	Employment protection -No tenure restrictions (None); ES 1m	-Tenure requirements (6m DE, 12m FR, 24m UK)
	-Targeted compensation (ES) <b>Flexible working</b> -No tenure restrictions	-Tenure restrictions (DE, UK)
Social protection gaps	Unemployment benefits -Few contributions over long period (DK, FR, ES)	-High contributions over short period (DE, SI) -Intermittent work penalised
<u> 2aba</u>	Paid maternity leave -Low/ flexible continuity requirements (all except UK)	-Long/rigid continuity requirements (UK)

## TEMPORARY WORK: CHALLENGES OF ENFORCEMENT?

- Major improvements with EU Directives on equal treatment
  - But limited awareness of rights among workers and employers; weak transitions to open-ended contracts undermine lifecycle earnings growth
  - Weak capacity to claim their rights (low union representation); exit preferable to voice
  - High risk of low pay, **in-work poverty:**

Share of workers in poverty by contract

	SPAIN	GERMANY	FRANCE	SLOVENIA	DENMARK	UK
Workers with a	23.3%	18.1%	13.2%	12.5%	9.8%	7.3%
temporary contract						
Workers with a	5.9%	7.5%	4.7%	4.5%	3.6%	5.1%
permanent contract						
In-work poverty	17.4 pp	10.6рр	8.5pp	8.0pp	6.2pp	2.2рр
contract gap						

Source: Eurostat SILC 2015 data

## **SUBCONTRACTED WORK**

	More Inclusive	More Exclusive				
	Employment protection for subcontra	• •				
Employment	-Protect transferring workers (extend collective agreements)	-Minimum scope of protections -Ambiguous 'employer' status				
rights gaps	-Social clause in procurement (DK, DE, UK); restricted use (ES)	-Ambiguous employer status				
	Protections for posted workers					
	<ul> <li>Equality with conditions for non- posted workers</li> </ul>	-Directive privileges minimum statutory protections (all 6 countries)				
	Pensions for subcontracted employees					
Social	-Continuity with change of	-Protections exclude pensions				
protection	employer Protections for posted workers	(Acquired Rights directive)				
gaps	-Equality with non-posted workers (none)	-Match conditions in sending country (Directive, all 6 countries)				

### SUBCONTRACTED WORK: PROBLEMS OF FALSE SELF EMPLOYMENT

#### Major risks of exclusion

- Civil law not employment law
- Loss of social protection rights caused by voluntary opt-in arrangements (eg 1 in 5 opt in to Spain's unemployment fund)
- High risk of poverty (24% in Slovenia)
- Immigration rules place migrant workers at risk (conditions of entry and stay) (Cremers 2009)
- Growing employer use of 'labour-only subcontracting'

#### **Towards more inclusive arrangements?**

- > **Denmark**: universal social protections extended to self employed
- Slovenia: clients contribute 9% of gross earnings in social security contributions (1/2 of standard level)
- Spain's hybrid status (TAED) extends some employee protections (employment rights and social protection)

# **SECOND RESEARCH QUESTION**

How can social dialogue reduce precarious work?

- Selection of 21 case studies across six countries
- 'Purposive sampling' –chosen to:
  - i. represent a type of precarious work
  - ii. a form of social dialogue
  - iii. an attempted improvement of conditions

# **CASE STUDIES:** THE POWER OF SOCIAL DIALOGUE

- Social dialogue can be versatile and adaptable
  - Limited evidence of vested interests defending fixed positions
  - Effective union strategies involved:
    - Traditional union-employer channels and novel networks of collaboration (informal mobilisation –unions and employers acting 'outside their standard frames of bargaining' –Kornig et al 2016)
    - Alternative mechanisms for regulation (join with employers against clients; cross-class coalitions)
    - Targeted strategies (mobilise migrants; abolish zero hours)
    - Fix new standards in response to experience of workers in precarious work (housing conditions)

#### Figure 16.2. Targeted successes of social dialogue in reducing precarious work



# **CASE STUDIES:** SOCIAL DIALOGUE AT MULTIPLE LEVELS

- Not simply a patchwork of local, workplace level gains (contrary to Stone & Arthurs 2013)
  - Eg. Inter-sectoral CA, sector and local action –1 example (FR retail part-timers)
  - Eg. National sector level change with local action –5 examples (DK labour clauses, FR cleaning firm, ES chain subcontracting, Spain subcontracted catering, SI retail)
  - Eg. National sector level initiative/taskforce with local action 4 examples (DK TWA, DE posted work meat industry, UK local govt procurement, UK higher education casualisation)

### **CASE STUDIES:** THE LIMITS OF SOCIAL DIALOGUE

The recurring cycle of pressures, actions, inactions and social dialogue counter-actions



# **CASE STUDIES:** DIVERSE TRAJECTORIES

Figure 16.1. Four paths towards re-regulating labour markets



**Extension of protections** 

# **CONCLUDING THOUGHTS**

- Europe has been active promoting flexible labour markets and the costs are becoming evident:
  - high demand for state support to counter in-work poverty
  - fewer job opportunities that can support life-stage transitions
  - poor fit with productive labour markets
- Allocative & distributive functions of Europe's labour markets are failing
- Need to interrogate all regulations for their potential *inclusive* and exclusive effects
- Is a *new targeted approach* needed?
- How to ensure social partners are properly equipped to devise resolutions to problems of precarious work?